

# MTH 420 – HW #4

Due on Monday, 1 March 1999

## 1. DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Consider the following system of differential equations:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} = P \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = Q \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = R$$

where  $f, g, h$  are functions of the variables  $x, y, z$ .

- (a) What condition must be imposed on  $P, Q, R$  for there to exist solutions  $f, g, h$ ?
- (b) Suppose that  $P = 2yz, Q = 2xz, R = 2xy$ . Find a solution  $f, g, h$ .
- (c) With  $P, Q, R$  as above, find the *general* solution  $f, g, h$ .

*HINT: Express the problem in terms of differential forms, that is, combine  $f, g, h$  into a differential form of appropriate rank, and do the same for  $P, Q, R$ .*

## 2. INTEGRATION ON THE SPHERE

- (a) Let  $\beta$  be any 1-form in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and let  $\alpha = d\beta$ . Show by actually doing the integration that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \alpha = 0$$

where  $\mathbb{S}^2$  denotes the unit sphere.

*If you are unable to do this for a generic  $\beta$ , at least work out an explicit example.*

- (b) The volume element on the unit sphere is  $\omega = \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\phi$  and clearly  $\omega = d(-\cos \theta d\phi)$ . Why doesn't the integral above imply that the “volume” (really surface area) of the sphere must be zero, that is, why isn't  $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \omega$  equal to 0?

*HINT: This is trickier than it looks! It would make sense to work in spherical coordinates. Furthermore, since  $r = 1$  on the sphere, we also have  $dr = 0$  when doing the integration. Thus, it is safe to assume that the “ $dr$ ” term in  $\beta$  vanishes, and further that the remaining coefficients do not depend on  $r$ . In short, you are assuming that  $\beta$  is a 1-form **on the sphere**.*

**HOWEVER:** Care must be taken that  $\beta$  is well-defined! In particular, “ $d\theta$ ” is not well-defined at the poles (the limit is direction-dependent), and “ $d\phi$ ” actually blows up at the poles! For a rigorous solution, you would have to verify that your choice of  $\beta$  is well-defined at the poles, and the easiest way to do this is to write it in rectangular coordinates.

**SHORTCUT:** It turns out, however, that  $\sin \theta d\phi$  is no worse than  $d\theta$ . (You are encouraged to verify this by expressing them in a rectangular basis; see me for help.) Furthermore, this behavior is in fact sufficient to do the integral. It is thus sufficient to use this **orthonormal** basis on the unit sphere when defining  $\beta$ , and not worry further about rigor.