

WORLD STATUS OF GEOTHERMAL ENERGY USE OVERVIEW 1995-1999

John W. Lund
Geo-Heat Center, Oregon Institute of Technology, Klamath Falls, OR

1. INTRODUCTION

Early humans probably used geothermal water that occurred in natural pools and hot springs for cooking, bathing and to keep warm. We have archeological evidence that the Indians of the Americas occupied sites around these geothermal resources for over 10,000 years to recuperated from battle and take refuge. Many of their oral legends describe these places and other volcanic phenomena. Recorded history shows uses by Romans, Japanese, Turks, Icelanders, Central Europeans and the Maori of New Zealand for bathing, cooking and space heating. Baths in the Roman Empire, the middle kingdom of the Chinese, and the Turkish baths of the Ottomans were some of the early uses of balneology; where, body health, hygiene and discussions were the social custom of the day. This custom has been extended to geothermal spas in Japan, Germany, Iceland, countries of the former Austro-Hungarian empire, the Americas and New Zealand.

Other early uses included the geothermal water at Huaqingchi Hot Spring in China; where, a bathing and treatment facility was built in the Qin Dynasty (over 2,000 years ago), and a hot spring at Ziaotangshan near Beijing used for recreation for about 800 years by the royal family, and other high-ranking officials in the Ming and Qing Dynasties. Early industrial applications include chemical extraction from the natural manifestations of steam, pools and mineral deposits in the Larderello region of Italy. Serious industrial activity began only after the discovery of boric acid in the hot pools in 1777. The first attempt at using these minerals was made in 1810, and nine factories were built between 1816 and 1835. A flourishing chemical industry was in operation by the early 1900's. At Chaudes-Aigues in the heart of France, the world's first geothermal district heating system was started in the 14th century and is still going strong.

As described above, we know that there have been many countries where geothermal has been used in the past, but most of this utilization has not been documented. However, a recent publication (1999): *Stories from a Heated Earth - Our Geothermal Heritage* (edited by R. Cataldi, S. Hodgson and J. Lund) describes many of these early uses prior to the industrial revolution. This publication covers more than 25 countries with historical information taken from the works of archaeologists, historians, geographers, anthropologists, scientists and engineers. Thus, we now have in a single reference documenting the early uses of geothermal energy -- from hot spring bathing to the use of geothermal material such as obsidian and tuff, along with the legends and myths associated with fumaroles, hot springs and volcanic eruption. These uses continues today with electric power generation, and space heating and cooling.

2. DEVELOPMENTS IN THE 20TH CENTURY

2.1 Electric Power Generation

The first use of geothermal energy for electric power production started in Italy with experimental work by Prince Gionori Conti between 1904 and 1905. The first power plant (250 kWe) was commissioned in 1913 at Larderello. These developments were followed by Wairakai, New Zealand in 1958; an experimental plant at Pathe, Mexico in 1959; and at The Geysers in the United States in 1960. The first international geothermal meeting to report on geothermal utilization was the UN Conference on New Sources of Energy held in Rome in 1961 where developments in Italy, New Zealand, USA and Iceland were discussed (Smith, 1964). At that time, Iceland was proposing a plant at Hveragerdi and the experimental installation at Pathe was not mentioned. This was followed by the UN Symposium on the Development and Utilization of Geothermal Resources at Pisa in 1970 (Facca, 1970). Based on these reports and subsequent reports presented at the 2nd UN Symposium on the Development and Use of Geothermal Resources at San Francisco in 1975 (Armstead, 1975a), the GRC Annual meetings (1981, 1985 and 1990) (DiPippo, 1981 and 1985; Hutterer, 1990), and at the World Geothermal Congress in Florence in 1995 (Hutterer, 1995), along with the current report by Hutterer (2000) the development of geothermal electric power is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Worldwide Development of Geothermal Electric Power

Year	Installed	Energy MWe	Number GWh/yr	Participants of Countries
1940	130		1	Italy
1950	293		1	Italy
1960	386	2,600 est.	4	+ NZ, Mexico, & USA
1970	678	5,000 est	6	+ Japan & USSR
1975	1,310		8	+ Iceland & El Salvador
1980	2,110		14	+ China, Indonesia, Kenya, Turkey, Philippines, & Portugal
1985	4,764		17	+ Greece, France & Nicaragua
1990	5,832		19	+ Thailand, Argentina, & Australia - Greece
1995	6,797		20	+ Costa Rica
2000	7,974	49,261	21	+ Guatemala & Ethiopia - Argentina

Unfortunately, no estimates were made of the energy produced during the period 1975 to 1995. There also appears to be slight differences in the installed MWe numbers between various authors.

The growth rate for installed electric capacity started slowly at 5.6% annually from 1940 to 1960, depressed by World War II and the destruction of the Italian fields at the end of the war. From 1960 to 1970, the rate increased slightly to 5.8% annually, and then picked up dramatically from 1970 to 1980 at 12.0%, and 1980 to 1990 at 10.7% - the growth years for geothermal energy. From 1990, the rate has dropped to 3.2% per year as influenced by the slowdown in the world economy, especially in southeast Asia, and the availability of cheap fossil fuels. The growth rate over the past 30 years has averaged 8.6% compounded annually.

The 1961 Rome Conference reported the following figures on geothermal electrical costs (Smith, 1964):

Geothermal Field	Installed cost/ kW	Energy cost/kWh (net output)
The Geysers	US\$152	US\$0.0025
Wairakei	US\$227	US\$0.0046
Larderello	US\$138	US\$0.0012
Iceland (proposed)	US\$364	US\$0.0079

This compares at that time with US\$117 per installed kW and US\$0.0012 per kWh of net output for two 150 MW units using "traditional fuels."

DiPippo (1998), estimates the current capital cost for U.S. geothermal plants as follows:

Geothermal Field or type	Period	Installed cost/kW
The Geysers	1980-83	US\$ 414 - 780*
Single Flash	1984-88	US\$ 2,500 - 3,000
Double Flash	1985-88	US\$ 1,900 - 2,700
Binary	1987-93	US\$ 3,030 - 4,000

* The Geyser's cost includes plant cost only; all the rest include field development.

Estimates of the current cost of producing power is as follows (Wright, 2000)

The Geysers:	1. 5 to 2.5 cents/kWh
Single flash:	2 to 4 cents/kWh
Binary:	3 to 5 cents/kWh (heavily dependent on size)
New construction:	5 to 6.5 cents/kWh

2.2 Direct Heat Utilization

Even though the direct-use of geothermal energy has a much longer history of use than electric power generation, the numbers are less reliable. In fact, it is difficult to compare installed capacity and annual use, due to the inclusion or exclusion of bathing, swimming and balneology figures. This has not been consistent, as in the early years this use was not included, but in the current report it is included (1985 on - but not in a consistent manner). Also, values prior to 1970 were not summarized and up to 1980 could only be estimated from country descriptions in rapporteur reports. The early reports did not include China, a large user of geothermal energy for direct use, due to the political situation at the time, and also

did not include the United States; even though, a geothermal district heating system had been installed in Boise, Idaho in 1890 and individual wells had been utilized in Klamath Falls since the 1930s for home heating. Finally, since many direct-uses are small and not concentrated in one place, they are often overlooked by authors reporting on their country.

As a result, the 1961 UN conference in Rome reported only developments in Iceland, New Zealand, Italy, Japan and Kenya (Bodvarsson, 1964). This report described district heating of 45,000 houses in Reykjavik, use of 1,000 wells in Rotorua for space heating, heating of 95,000 m² of greenhouses in Iceland, production of 21,000 tons/yr of salt in Japan, the pulp and paper plant at Kawerau, the chemical industry at Larderello, pig raising in New Zealand, and chicken hatching in Kenya. The 1970 report of the UN meeting in Pisa included descriptions from Hungary, Iceland, Italy, Japan, New Zealand, and the USSR (Einarsson, 1970). As mentioned above, China and the United States were not included. The data in Table 2 is based on information in the 1970 UN Conference in Pisa, a report by Lawrence Livermore Laboratory in 1975 (Howard, 1975), the second UN Conference in San Francisco (Armstead, 1975b), papers by Lund in 1979 and 1982, reports from the GRC annual meetings in 1985 and 1990 (Gudmundsson, 1985: Freeston, 1990), the World Geothermal Congresses in 1995 in Italy (Freeston, 1996), and the current paper by Lund and Freeston (2000). Starting in 1995, geothermal heat pumps (ground-source heat pumps) were included in the reports and are now a significant part of the totals.

Table 2. Worldwide Development of Geothermal Direct Heat Utilization

Year	Installed Energy		Number	Participants reporting
	MWt	GWh/yr	of countries	
1960	500 est.		5	Iceland, Italy, New Zealand, Japan and Kenya
1970	800 est.	2,200 est.	6	+ Hungary & USSR - Kenya
1975	1,300 est		10	+ France, Philippines, Turkey & USA
1980	1,950		14	+ Austria, Czechoslovakia, Germany & Taiwan
1985	7,072	23,960	24	+ Australia, Canada, China, Columbia, Denmark, Mexico, Poland, Romania, Switzerland & Yugoslavia
1990	8,064		30	+ Algeria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Ethiopia, Greece, Guatemala, Thailand, & Tunisia - some countries not reporting
1995	8,664	31,236	30	Argentina, Georgia, Israel, Macedonia, Serbia, Slovakia, & Sweden - some countries not reporting
2000	15,145	53,156	58	see Lund and Freeston (2000)

The large increase in installed capacity between 1980 and 1985 is due to the inclusion of pool heating at spas in Japan along with the first available data from China.

The annual growth rate from 1970 to 1980 was 9.3%, from 1980 to 1990 was 15.2% (which was strongly influenced by data from Japan and China), and from 1990 to 2000 was 6.5%. The overall growth rate over the past 30 years has averaged 10.3% compounded annually. The large increases from 1970 to 1990 (average annual of 12.2%) and the recent reduction from 1990 to present, was influenced by the same factors as in the case of the electric power generation, except that the availability of cheap fossil fuels in recent years had a much larger effect than the economic slowdown in southeast Asia.

Reported cost for district heating in Iceland at the 1961 Rome Conference was (Smith, 1964):

Production cost: US\$0.30 to 0.48 per Gcal (0.026 to 0.041 cents/kWh)
 Total cost to consumer: US\$4.00 per Gcal (about 60% of the heating cost based on oil) (0.344 cents/kWh)

Examples of current district heating costs are 0.23 to 0.42 cents/1000 kcal (0.27 to 0.49 cents/kWh) in Turkey, compared to 3.4 cents/kWh for natural gas and 11.2 cents/kWh for electricity based heating (Mertoglu, et al., 1999). The Klamath Falls, Oregon district heating system charges 1.6 to 2.0 cents/kWh (Lund, 1999). This is 50% - 80% of the natural gas cost, depending upon the efficiency of the gas conversion, and the comparable cost for electricity in the city is 5.5 cents/kWh. Construction costs for heating in Turkey are 850 to 1,250 US\$/kW and the cost per residence is around 2,000

US\$, an investment that is amortized in 5 to 10 years. Stefansson (1999) reports an average consumer heating cost in 1995 for four European countries as 2.4 cents/kWh.

3. UTILIZATION IN 2000

Based on 60 country update papers submitted to the World Geothermal Congress 2000 supplemented with other current reports, the follow figures on worldwide geothermal electric and direct-use capacity, and energy use was determined. A total of 59 countries reported some utilization, either electric, direct-use or both (Table 3).

Table 3. Total Geothermal Use in 2000

Use	Installed	Annual	Capacity Factor	Countries Reporting
	Power MW	Energy Use GWh/yr		
Electric Power	7,974	49,261	0.71	21
Direct-Use	15,145	53,156	0.40	58

The figures for electric power capacity (MW) appear to be fairly accurate; however, several of the country annual generation values (GWh) had to be estimated which amounted to only 0.5% of the total. The direct-use figures are less reliable and probably are understated by as much as 10%. The author is also aware of at least five countries which utilize geothermal energy for direct-heat applications, but did not submit reports to WGC2000. The details of the present electric power generation and direct-use of geothermal energy can be found in Hutterer (2000), and Lund and Freeston (2000). These data are summarized as follows:

Table 4. Summary of Regional Geothermal Use in 2000

Region	Electric Power				Direct-Use			
	MWe	%	GWh/yr	%	MWt	%	GWh/yr	%
Africa	53.5	0.7	396.5	0.8	125.4	0.8	503.4	1.0
Americas	3,389.9	42.5	23,341.9	47.4	4,355.3	28.8	7,268.6	13.7
Central	406.9		2,190.9		5.0		37.6	
North*	2,983.0		21,151.0		4,307.8		7,012.9	
South	0		0		42.5		218.1	
Asia**	3,095.3	38.8	17,509.5	35.5	4,607.5	30.4	24,415.2	45.9
Europe	998.2	12.5	5,744.6	11.7	5,714.3	37.7	18,904.1	35.5
Central/East	0		0		1,283.6		4,405.2	
West/North***	975.2		5,659.6		3,871.5		11,036.0	
CIS****	23.0		85.0		559.2		3,462.9	
Oceania	437.2	5.5	2,268.9	4.6	342.3	2.3	2,064.7	3.9
TOTAL	7,974.1		49,261.4		15,144.8		53,156.0	

* includes Mexico

** includes Turkey

*** includes Azores and Guadelope

**** includes Russia and Georgia

The data for Japan and Hungary were modified from Lund and Freeston (2000) based on revised estimates for bathing and swimming pools (Fridleifsson, 2000).

A review of the above data shows that in electric power generation each major continent has approximately the same percentage share of the installed capacity and energy produced with North America and Asia having almost 80% of the total. Whereas, with the direct-use figures, the percentages drop significantly from installed capacity and energy use for North America (28.8 to 13.7%) due to the high percentage of geothermal heat pumps with low capacity factor for these units. On the other hand, the percentages increased for the remainder of the world due to a lesser reliance on geothermal heat pumps, and the greater number of operating hours per years for these units.

3.1 Electric Power Generation

Electric power has been produced from geothermal energy in 23 countries; however Greece and Argentina have shut down their plants due to environmental and economic reasons. Since 1995, Ethiopia has joined the ranks of power producers with a 8.5 MW (gross) binary plant installed at Aluto, Langano, and Guatemala has seen the installation of 5 MWe at Amatitlan and 27.7 MWe at Zunil. The installed capacity in the United States has been reduced by 589 MWt since 1995 due to declines in steam output in The Geysers. In an attempt to bring production back, the Southeast Geysers Effluent Recycling Project is now injecting 340 l/s of treated wastewater through a 48-km long pipeline from Clear Lake, adding 54 MW. A second, 66-km long pipeline from Santa Rosa is planned.

The countries with an increase of over 50% in installed capacity over the period 1995-2000 are Iceland, Portugal (Azores), Costa Rica, Russia, Indonesia, Philippines, El Salvador and New Zealand.

Based on country update papers for WGC2000, the projected installed capacity for 2005 is 11,414 MWe or a 43% increase (7.4% annual compounded growth rate) (Huttrer, 2000). This compares to 17% increase (3.1% annual) growth from 1995 to 2000. If the data from the United States were not considered due to its significant decline, the growth would have been 43% (7.4% annual). The same as predicted for the next five years.

One of the more significant aspects of geothermal power development, is the size of its contribution to certain national capacity. The following countries lead in this contribution with more than 5% of the electrical energy supplied by geothermal power (Huttrer, 2000)(Table 5):

Table 5. National Geothermal Contribution

Country	% of National Capacity (MWe)	% of National Energy (GWh/a)
Philippines	16.2*	21.5
El Salvador	15.4	20.0
Nicaragua	17.0	17.2
Iceland	13.0	14.7
Costa Rica	7.8	10.2
Kenya	5.3	8.4
New Zealand	5.1	6.1
Indonesia	3.0	5.1

*Based on 1998 data from the EIA, Washington, D.C.

3.2 Direct Utilization

The world direct utilization of geothermal energy is difficult to determine, as there are many diverse uses of the energy and these are sometimes small and located in remote areas. Finding someone, or even a group of people in a country who are knowledgeable on all the direct uses is difficult. In addition, even if the use can be determined, the flow rates and temperatures are usually not known or reported; thus, the capacity and energy use can only be estimated. This is especially true of geothermal waters used for swimming pools, bathing and balneology. Thus, it is difficult to compare changes from one report to the next. This was especially true of Japan and Hungary in the WGC2000 country updates, as a significant portion of this use was not reported, and had to be obtained from other sources.

One of the significant changes for WGC2000 was the increase in the number of countries reporting use. Approximately 28 countries were added to the list in the current report as compared to 1995. In addition, the author is aware of five countries (Ethiopia, Malaysia, Mozambique, South Africa, and Zambia) that have geothermal direct-uses, but have not provided a report for WGC2000. Thus, there are at least 63 countries with some form of direct utilization of geothermal energy.

Another significant change from 1995 is the large increase in geothermal (ground-source) heat pump installations. They increased by 185% (23% annual growth) in capacity and 59% (10% annual growth) over the five year period. At present, they are the largest portion of the installed capacity (35%) and 12% of the annual energy use. The actual number of installed units is around 500,000 in 26 countries, mostly in the United States and Europe; however, the data are incomplete. The equivalent number of 12 kW units installed (the average size) is slightly over 570,000. The equivalent number of full-load operating hours per year varies from 1,000 in the U.S., to over 6,000 in Sweden and Finland.

In terms of the contribution of geothermal direct-use to the national energy budget, two countries stand out: Iceland and Turkey. In Iceland, it provides 86 % of the countries space heating needs, which is important since heating is required almost all year and saves about 100 million US\$ in imported oil (Ragnarsson, 2000). Turkey has increased their installed capacity over the past five years from 140 MWt to 820 MWt, most for district heating systems. This supplies heat to 51,600 equivalent residences and engineering design to supply a further 150,000 residences with geothermal heat is complete. The Turkish projections for 2010 is 3,500 MWt which will heat an equivalent 500,000 residences or about 30% of the residences in the country (Batik, et al., 2000). Tunisia has increased the area of greenhouses from 10 to 100 ha in 10 years (26% annual growth).

4. ENERGY SAVINGS

The total geothermal electricity produced in the world is equivalent to saving 83.3 million barrels (12.5 million tonnes) of fuel oil per year (generating electricity with a 0.35 efficiency factor). This produces a savings of between 2.58 (natural gas), 11.03 (oil) or 12.81 (coal) million tonnes of carbon pollution annually. The total direct-use and geothermal heat pump

energy use in the world is equivalent to savings of 103.6 million barrels (15.5 million tonnes) of fuel oil per year (generating electricity with a 0.35 efficiency factor). This produces a savings of between 3.18 (natural gas), 13.62 (oil) or 15.82 (coal) million tonnes of carbon pollution annually. If the replacement energy for direct-use was provided by burning the fuel directly, then about half this amount would be saved in heating systems (35% vs. 70% efficiency). If the savings in the cooling mode of geothermal heat pumps is considered, then this is equivalent to an additional savings of 8.0 million barrels (1.2 million tonnes) of fuel oil per year or from 0.27 (natural gas), 1.18 (oil), or 1.37 (coal) million tonnes of carbon pollution annually. The above data is based on information provided by LLL (1997).

The equivalent savings in the production of CO₂ from geothermal electricity production from fuel oil is 40.2 million tons and from direct-use 49.6 million tonnes. The corresponding figures for natural gas and coal are 9.5 and 46.9 million tonnes for electricity, and 11.7 and 57.9 for direct-use (at 35% plant efficiency). Similar numbers of natural gas, oil and coal can be determined for sulfur oxides (SO_x) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x) at 0, 0.25 and 0.26 million tonnes and 2.2, 7.6 and 7.6 thousand tonnes respectively for electricity, and 0, 0.31 and 0.33 million tonnes and 2.7, 9.3 and 9.3 thousand tonnes respectively for direct-use (Goddard and Goddard, 1990). For direct-use, the values would be approximately half if the heat energy was used directly.

In total, the savings from present worldwide geothermal energy production, both electric and direct-use, is summarized in Table 6 and Table 7.

Table 6. Fuel Oil and Carbon Savings from Geothermal Energy Production.

Fuel Oil (10 ⁶)		Carbon (10 ⁶ t)		
Barrels	Tonnes	NG	Oil	Coal
194.9	29.2	6.03	25.83	30.00

It should be noted when considering these savings, that some geothermal plants do emit limited amounts of the various pollutants; however, these are reduced to near zero where gas injection is used and eliminated where binary power is installed for electric power generation. Since most direct-use projects use only hot water and the spent fluid injected, the above pollutants are essentially eliminated.

Table 8. Projected Geothermal Development for 2005 & 2010

	Scenario I				Scenario II			
	2005		2010		2005		2010	
	MW	TWh	MW	TWh	MW	TWh	MW	TWh
Electric Power	12,850*	79	20,700	128	16,050	99	32,250	199
Direct-Use	24,370	86	39,250	138	30,440	107	61,221	215

* 11,414 MW estimated for 19 countries (Huttrer, 2000).

Table 7. CO₂, SO_x and NO_x Savings from Geothermal Energy Production

NG	CO ₂ (10 ⁶ t)			SO _x (10 ⁶ t)			NO _x (10 ³ t)		
	Oil	Coal	NG	Oil	Coal	NG	Oil	Coal	
21.2	89.8	104.8	0	0.56	0.59	4.9	16.9	16.9	

5. CONCLUSIONS

Geothermal growth and development has increased significantly over the past 30 years approaching 15% annually in the early part of this period, and dropping to below 5% annually in the last ten years due to an economic slow down in the Far East and the low price of competing fuels. At the start of this 30-year period, only eight countries reported electrical production and/or direct utilization from geothermal energy. By the end of this period, 62 countries reported utilizing geothermal energy and another five are known to be using this resource. This is over an eight-fold increase in participating countries. At least another 10 countries are actively exploring for geothermal resources and should be online by 2005.

It is difficult to make projections into the future, but based on trends over the past 30 years and anticipated increases in fossil fuel costs, the following two scenarios can be attempted. Scenario I assumes that the approximate 10% annual increase (typical for 1970 - 1990) will continue, and Scenario II assume the more optimistic trend of 15% annual increase.

Geothermal energy certainly has the potential to achieve these numbers, and if the emphasis on reducing greenhouse gases and particulate emissions continues, then geothermal energy should be an important part of any future energy mix.

6. REFERENCES

- Armstead, H.C. H. (1975a). Summary of Section VIII - Electricity Production, Proceedings of the Second United Nations Symposium on the Development and Use of Geothermal Resources, San Francisco, USERDA & USGS, Washington, D.C., pp. ciii-cx.
- Armstead, H.C. H. (1975b). Summary of Section IX - Space and Process Heating, Proceedings of the Second United Nations Symposium on the Development and Use of Geothermal Resources, San Francisco, USERDA & USGS, Washington, D.C., pp. cxi-cxv.

- Batik, H.; Kocak, A.; Akkus, I.; Simsek, S.; Mertoglu, O.; Dokuz, I. and N. Bakir (2000). Geothermal Energy Utilization in Turkey - Present Geothermal Situation and Projections, Proceedings of the World Geothermal Congress 2000, Japan.
- Bodvarsson, G. (1964). Utilization of Geothermal Energy for Heating Purposes and Combined Schemes Involving Power Generation, Heating and/or By-Products, Proceedings of Conference on New Sources of Energy, Rome, 1961, Vol. 3, United Nations, NY, pp. 429-436.
- DiPippo, R. (1981). Geothermal Power Plants: Worldwide Survey as of July 1981, Transactions of the Geothermal Resources Council, Davis, CA, pp. 5-8.
- DiPippo, R. (1985). Electric Power, The State of the World - 1985. Transactions of the 1985 International Symposium on Geothermal Energy, International Volume, Geothermal Resources Council, Davis, CA, pp. 3-18.
- DiPippo, R. (1998). Geothermal Power Systems, Section 8.2 in Standard Handbook of Power Plant Engineering, 2nd edition, T.C. Elliott, K. Chen and R. C. Swanekamp, Editors, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, NY, pp. 8.27-8.60.
- Einarsson, S. S. (1970). Utilization of Low Enthalpy Water for Space Heating, Industrial, Agricultural and Other Uses, Proceedings of the United Nations Symposium on the Development and Utilization of Geothermal Resources, Vol. 1, Istituto Internazionale per le Ricerche Geotermiche, Pisa, Italy, pp. 112-121.
- Facca, G. (1970). The Status of World Geothermal Development, Proceedings of the United Nations Symposium on the Development and Utilization of Geothermal Resources, Vol.1, Istituto Internazionale per le Ricerche Geotermiche, Pisa, Italy, pp. 8-23.
- Fridleifsson, I. B. (2000). Geothermal Energy for the Benefit of the People Worldwide, Keynote Presentation, Kazuno Geo-Friendship Forum, World Geothermal Congress 2000, Japan.
- Freeston, D. H. (1990). Direct Uses of Geothermal Energy in 1990, Bulletin, Geothermal Resources Council, Vol. 19, No. 7 (July/August), Davis, CA, pp. 188-198.
- Freeston, D. H. (1996). Direct Uses of Geothermal Energy 1995, Quarterly Bulletin, Vol. 17, No. 1 (February), Geo-Heat Center, Oregon Institute of Technology, Klamath Falls, OR, pp. 1-18.
- Goddard, W. B. and C. B. Goddard, 1990. Energy Fuel Sources and Their Contribution to Recent Global Air Pollution Trends, Transactions, Geothermal Resources Council, Vol. 14, Davis, CA, pp. 643-649.
- Gudmundsson, J. S. (1985). Direct Uses of Geothermal Energy in 1984, Transactions of the 1985 International Symposium on Geothermal Energy, International Volume, Geothermal Resources Council, Davis, CA, pp. 19-32.
- Howard, J. H. (editor) (1975). Present Status and Future Prospects for Nonelectrical Uses of Geothermal Resources, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory (prepared for the US Energy Research and Development Administration), University of California, Livermore, CA, 162 p.
- Huttrer, G. W. (1990). Geothermal Electric Power -- A 1990 World Status Update, Bulletin, Geothermal Resources Council, Vol. 19, No. 7 (July/August), Davis, CA, pp. 175-187.
- Huttrer, G. W. (1995). The Status of World Geothermal Power Production 1990-1994, Proceedings of the World Geothermal Congress 1995, Florence, Italy, Vol. 1, International Geothermal Association, pp. 3-14.
- Huttrer, G. W. (2000). The Status of World Geothermal Power Generation 1995-2000, Proceedings of the World Geothermal Congress 2000, Japan.
- LLL, 1997. Brief Guidelines for the Development of Inputs to the CCTS from the Technology Working Group, Working Draft 7/31/97, (Paul Kasameyer), Lawrence Livermore Laboratories, Livermore, CA, 5 p.
- Lund, J. W. (1979). Worldwide Direct Application Review, A Symposium of Geothermal Energy and its Direct Uses in the Eastern United States (prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy), Geothermal Resources Council, Davis, CA, pp. 49-54.
- Lund, J. W. (1982). Direct Use of Geothermal Resources, Proceedings of the Pacific Geothermal Conference and 4th New Zealand Geothermal Workshop, Part 2, Auckland, New Zealand, pp. 307-312.
- Lund, J. W. (1999). Geothermal Uses in Klamath Falls, Oregon, Technika Poszukiwan Geologicznych, Geosynoptyka i Geotermia, Vol. 4-5, Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland, pp. 69-76.
- Lund, J. W. and D. H. Freeston (2000). World-Wide Direct Uses of Geothermal Energy 2000, Proceedings of the World Geothermal Congress 2000, Japan.
- Mertoglu, O.; Dokuz, I.; Canlan, A.; Makir, N.; Kaya, T. and T. Ozbek (1999). Geothermal Applications in Turkey: the Technology and Economics, Proceedings of the European Geothermal Conference, Basel, '99, Vol. 1, Bulletin d'Hydrogeologie No. 17, Peter Lang, Berne, pp. 57-64.
- Ragnarsson, A. (2000). Geothermal Development in Iceland 1995-1999. Proceedings of the World Geothermal Congress 2000, Japan.
- Smith, J. H. (1964). Harnessing of Geothermal Energy and Geothermal Electricity Production, Proceedings of the Conference on New Sources of Energy, Rome, 1961, Vol. 3, United Nations, NY, pp. 3-26.
- Stefansson, V., (1999). Economic Aspects of Geothermal Development, paper presented at the International Workshop on the Direct Use of Geothermal Energy, Ljubljana, Slovenia, Nov. 16-17, 19 p.
- Wright, P. M. (2000). Energy & Geoscience Institute, University of Utah, personal communication.