

Numerical Solution of the Fokker Plank Equation

Juan M. Restrepo
Mathematics Department and Physics Department
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ, 85721, U.S.A.

1 Fokker-Planck Equation

The specific form of the Fokker-Planck Equation considered here is

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial [f(x)P]}{\partial x} = \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x}, \quad (1)$$

where $P = P(x, t)$, and $\nu = \kappa^2/2$ is a positive constant. The function $f(x) = 4x(1 - x^2)$. The numerical approximation of the solution of this advection-diffusion equation poses special challenges when $f(x)$ is nonlinear. Here we will describe the numerical technique we adopted. First, we complete the statement of the problem. We seek solutions to (2) for $t > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{\partial P(x, t)}{\partial x} = 0$$

for all t , given $P(x, t) = P_0(x, 0)$.

The standard numerical approach is composed of two parts: (1) make a straightforward substitution that recasts (1) as a diffusion equation, which effectively incorporates upwinding of the advection term; (2) choose a discretization that preserves certain desired properties, namely conservation of P with respect to a weight (in our specific problem the weight is 1), and total

non-negativity. One such discretization is due to Larsen *et al.* citeyearpar-lars. This technique accomplishes both goals, regardless of whether $f(x)$ is linear or nonlinear. In addition, this scheme will also effectively control serious under and overflow problems in the computation, which are the result of the nonlinear nature of $f(x)$ for the problem at hand.

Briefly, (1) is rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ F \frac{\partial}{\partial e^\psi} [\nu e^\psi GP] \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where it is understood that the derivatives with respect to e^ψ are taken holding t constant. This equation, in turn can be written formally as the conservation law

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial x}.$$

We define $P_m(t)$, where $m = 1 \dots M + 1$, as the semi-discrete approximation of $P(x, t)$ on a uniform grid in x with spacing $\Delta x = 2L/M$ over $-L \leq x \leq L$. A second order flux-preserving scheme in space is then used to discretize the conservation law and the boundary conditions. Let

$$S_m(t) = \left\{ F_m \frac{\partial}{\partial e_m^\psi} [\nu e_m^{\psi_m} G_m P_m(t)] \right\}$$

denote the semi-discrete approximation of the flux S .

Then, the conservation law for the semi-discretization becomes

$$\frac{\partial P_m}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{S_{m+1/2} - S_{m-1/2}}{x_{m+1/2} - x_{m-1/2}} \right],$$

for $m = 2 \dots M$. At $m = 1$ and $m = M + 1$ discretized zero flux boundary conditions are imposed using the same flux-preserving scheme. The fully discrete scheme is obtained by a suitable choice of time integrator. A trapezoidal scheme in time preserves mass and circumvents the serious stability constraints imposed on the time step Δt , should we have chosen an explicit mass preserving scheme instead. In fact, it is easy to show that the resulting fully discrete equations conserve mass for any Δt . The scheme is second-order accurate in time and space. Both the conservation estimate and the convergence rate estimates were checked in our computer implementation of the scheme.