# Invariant Galton-Watson trees

 $\cap$ 

# Yevgeniy Kovchegov Oregon State University

Joint work with Ilya Zaliapin (University Nevada Reno)

# Combinatorial trees.

 ${\mathcal T}$  - space of finite unlabeled rooted reduced trees.

Empty tree  $\phi = \{\rho\}$  comprised of a root vertex  $\rho$  and no edges.

 $\mathcal{T}^{|}$  - subspace of  $\mathcal{T}$  containing  $\phi$  and all the trees in  $\mathcal{T}$  with a stem ( $\rho$  has exactly one offspring).



# Horton pruning and Horton-Strahler order



Horton pruning  $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{T}^{|} \to \mathcal{T}^{|}$  is an onto function whose value  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  for a tree  $T \neq \phi$  is obtained by removing the leaves and their parental edges from T, followed by series reduction. We also set  $\mathcal{R}(\phi) = \phi$ .

Horton-Strahler order:  $\operatorname{ord}(T) = \min \{k \ge 0 : \mathcal{R}^k(T) = \phi\}.$ 

# Horton-Strahler order



Horton-Strahler order:  $\operatorname{ord}(T) = \min \{k \ge 0 : \mathcal{R}^k(T) = \phi\}.$ 

# Side-branching.



Horton Law :  $\frac{N_{j-1}}{N_j} \approx R$  Tokunaga self-similarity :  $T_{i,j} = \frac{N_{i,j}}{N_j} \approx a c^{j-i-1}$ 

# Horton laws. Side-branching. Tokunaga indices.

For  $T \in \mathcal{T}^{|}$ , let

 $N_i[T]$  = number of order *i* branches in *T* 

Let  $\mathcal{N}_j[K] = E_K[N_j[T]]$  be the expected number of order *j* branches in a random tree *T* conditioned on  $\operatorname{ord}(T) = K$ .

**Horton law:** there exists Horton exponent R such that

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{N}_j[K]}{\mathcal{N}_1[K]} = R^{1-j}$$

For i < j, let

 $N_{i,j}[T] =$  number of order *i* side-branches of order *j* branches in *T* Let  $\mathcal{N}_{i,j}[K] = E_K[N_{i,j}[T]]$  be the expected number of order *i* side-branches of order *j* branches in *T* conditioned on  $\operatorname{ord}(T) = K$ .

**Tokunaga self-similarity:** there exists Tokunaga indices a > 0and c > 0 such that

$$T_{i,j}[K] = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{i,j}[K]}{\mathcal{N}_j[K]} = T_{j-i}, \text{ where } T_k = a c^{k-1}.$$

Reference: YK and I. Zaliapin, Probability Surveys (2020)

#### Critical binary Galton-Watson tree.

Let T be a critical binary Galton-Watson tree:  $T \stackrel{d}{\sim} \mathcal{GW}(q_0 = q_2 = 1/2)$ 

• P. Flajolet, J.-C. Raoult, and J. Vuillemin, TCS (1979)

 $\mathsf{E}\left[\mathsf{ord}(T) \mid N_1[T] = n\right] = \log_4 n + D\left(\log_4 n\right) + o(1), \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$ 

where  $D(\cdot)$  is a particular explicitly derived continuous periodic function of period one. This is a precursor of Horton law with R = 4:

$$\mathcal{N}_1[K] \asymp R^K \iff \lim_{K \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{N}_j[K]}{\mathcal{N}_1[K]} = \lim_{K \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{N}_1[K-j+1]}{\mathcal{N}_1[K]} = R^{1-j}$$

• G. A. Burd, E. C. Waymire, R. D. Winn, *Bernoulli* (2000) Tokunaga sequence  $T_k = 2^{k-1}$ , i.e., Tokunaga self-similarity holds with (a, c) = (1, 2). Horton law  $\lim_{K \to \infty} \frac{N_j[K]}{N_1[K]} = R^{1-j}$  holds with exponent R = 4.

Moreover, the following strong Horton law holds: for any  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$P\left(\left|\frac{N_j[T]}{N_1[T]} - R^{1-j}\right| > \epsilon \mid \operatorname{ord}(T) = K\right) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad K \to \infty.$$

#### Horton prune-invariance

Consider a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{T}$  (or  $\mathcal{T}^{|}$ ) such that  $\mu(\phi) = 0$ . Let  $\nu$  be the pushforward measure,  $\nu = \mathcal{R}_*(\mu)$ , i.e.,

$$\nu(T) = \mu \circ \mathcal{R}^{-1}(T) = \mu \left( \mathcal{R}^{-1}(T) \right).$$

Measure  $\mu$  is said to be Horton prune-invariant if for any tree  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  (or  $\mathcal{T}^{|}$ ) we have

$$\nu(T | T \neq \phi) = \mu(T).$$

**Objective:** finding and classifying Horton prune-invariant tree measures.

# **Attractors**

For a tree measure  $\rho_0$  let  $\nu_k = \mathcal{R}^k_*(\rho_0)$  denote the pushforward probability measure induced by operator  $\mathcal{R}^k$ , i.e.,

 $\nu_k(T) = \rho_0 \circ \mathcal{R}^{-k}(T) = \rho_0 \left( \mathcal{R}^{-k}(T) \right), \text{ and set } \rho_k(T) = \nu_k \left( T \mid T \neq \phi \right).$ If  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \rho_k(T) = \rho^*(T) \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{T}$ , then measure  $\rho^*$  is an attractor.

Objective: finding and classifying attractors.

#### **Pruning Galton-Watson trees**

Consider a Galton-Watson measure  $\mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  with  $q_1 = 0$ . Assume criticality or subcriticality, i.e.,  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kq_k \leq 1$ .

Theorem. [G. A. Burd, E. C. Waymire, R. D. Winn, Bernoulli (2000)]

• Assume finite second moment, i.e.,  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 q_k < \infty$ .

Galton-Watson measure  $\mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  is Horton prune-invariant if and only if it is critical binary Galton-Watson  $\mathcal{GW}(q_0 = q_2 = 1/2)$ .

• Assume criticality and finite branching, i.e.,  $|\{k : q_k > 0\}| < \infty$ . Let  $\rho_0 \equiv \mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$ ,  $\nu_k = \mathcal{R}^k_*(\rho_0)$ , and set  $\rho_k(T) = \nu_k(T | T \neq \phi)$ . Then,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\rho_k(T)=\rho^*(T)\qquad\forall T\in\mathcal{T},$$

where  $\rho^* = \mathcal{GW}(q_0 = q_2 = 1/2)$  is critical binary Galton-Watson measure.

• If  $\rho_0 \equiv \mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  is subcritical, then  $\rho_k(T)$  converges to a point mass measure,  $\rho^* = \mathcal{GW}(q_0 = 1)$ .

#### **Pruning Galton-Watson trees**

Consider a Galton-Watson measure  $\mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  with  $q_1 = 0$ . Assume criticality or subcriticality, i.e.,  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kq_k \leq 1$ .

Let  $Q(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z^m q_m$  denote the generating function.

For  $T \stackrel{d}{\sim} \mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  let  $\pi_j := P(\operatorname{ord}(T) = j)$ ,  $\sigma_0 = 0 \text{ and } \sigma_j := \sum_{i=1}^j \pi_i \quad \forall j \ge 1$ 

Lemma. [YK and I. Zaliapin, Bernoulli (2021)]

$$\sigma_j = \underbrace{S \circ \ldots \circ S}_{j \text{ times}}(0), \quad \text{where} \quad S(z) = \frac{Q(z) - zQ'(z)}{1 - Q'(z)}.$$

# **Pruning Galton-Watson trees**



# **Regularity condition**

Many of the results are proven under the following assumption.

**Assumption 1.** The following limit exists:

$$S'(1) = \lim_{x \to 1^{-}} \frac{1 - S(x)}{1 - x} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \lim_{x \to 1^{-}} \frac{Q(x) - x}{(1 - x)(1 - Q'(x))} = 1 - S'(1)$$

**Proposition.** [YK and I. Zaliapin, *Bernoulli* (2021)] If  $\mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  is a subcritical Galton-Watson measure with  $q_1 = 0$ , then Assumption 1 holds with S'(1) = 0.

**Lemma.** [YK and I. Zaliapin, *Bernoulli* (2021)] Consider a critical Galton-Watson measure  $\mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  with  $q_1 = 0$ . If

$$\mathsf{E}[X^2] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 q_k < \infty \qquad \text{where} \quad X \stackrel{d}{\sim} \{q_k\},$$

then Assumption 1 holds with  $S'(1) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

# **Regularity condition**

**Lemma.** [YK and I. Zaliapin, *Bernoulli* (2021)] Consider a critical Galton-Watson measure  $\mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  with  $q_1 = 0$ and infinite second moment, i.e.,  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 q_k = \infty$ . Let  $X \stackrel{d}{\sim} \{q_k\}$ . If the limit

$$\Lambda = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{k}{E[X \mid X \ge k]} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{k \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} q_m}{\sum_{m=k}^{\infty} m q_m}$$

exists, then Assumption 1 holds with  $S'(1) = \Lambda$ .

**Corollary.** [YK and I. Zaliapin, *Bernoulli* (2021)] Consider a critical Galton-Watson process  $\mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  with  $q_1 = 0$  and offspring distribution  $\{q_k\}$  of Zipf type:

 $q_k \sim Ck^{-(\alpha+1)}$  with  $\alpha \in (1,2]$  and C > 0.

Then Assumption 1 holds with  $S'(1) = \Lambda = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}$ .

#### **Invariant Galton-Watson measures**

For a given  $q \in [1/2, 1)$ , a critical Galton-Watson measure  $\mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  is said to be the invariant Galton-Watson (IGW) measure with parameter q and denoted by  $\mathcal{IGW}(q)$  if its generating function is given by

$$Q(z) = z + q(1-z)^{1/q}.$$

Branching probabilities:  $q_0 = q$ ,  $q_1 = 0$ ,  $q_2 = (1 - q)/2q$ , and

$$q_k = rac{1-q}{k! \, q} \prod_{i=2}^{k-1} (i-1/q) \quad (k \ge 3).$$

Here, if q = 1/2, then the distribution is critical binary, i.e.,  $\mathcal{GW}(q_0 = q_2 = 1/2)$ .

If  $q \in (1/2, 1)$ , the distribution is of Zipf type with

$$q_k = \frac{(1-q)\Gamma(k-1/q)}{q\Gamma(2-1/q)\,k!} \sim Ck^{-(1+q)/q}, \text{ where } C = \frac{1-q}{q\,\Gamma(2-1/q)}.$$

# **Invariant Galton-Watson measures**

Recall

$$S(z) = \frac{Q(z) - zQ'(z)}{1 - Q'(z)}.$$

**Assumption 1.** The following limit exists:

$$S'(1) = \lim_{x \to 1^{-}} \frac{1 - S(x)}{1 - x} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \lim_{x \to 1^{-}} \frac{Q(x) - x}{(1 - x)(1 - Q'(x))} = 1 - S'(1)$$

Horton prune-invariance: for  $\nu(T) = \mu(\mathcal{R}^{-1}(T))$ ,

 $\nu(T | T \neq \phi) = \mu(T).$ 

**Theorem.** [YK and I. Zaliapin, *Bernoulli* (2021)] Consider a critical or subcritical Galton-Watson measure  $\mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  with  $q_1 = 0$  that satisfies Assumption 1. Then, measure  $\mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  is Horton prune-invariant if and only if it is  $\mathcal{IGW}(q_0)$ .

# Attraction property of critical Galton-Watson trees

**Theorem.** [YK and I. Zaliapin, *Bernoulli* (2021)] Consider a critical Galton-Watson measure  $\rho_0 \equiv \mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  with  $q_1 = 0$ . Let  $\nu_k = \mathcal{R}^k_*(\rho_0)$  denote the pushforward probability measure induced by operator  $\mathcal{R}^k$ , i.e.,

 $\nu_k(T) = \rho_0 \circ \mathcal{R}^{-k}(T) = \rho_0 \left( \mathcal{R}^{-k}(T) \right), \text{ and set } \rho_k(T) = \nu_k \left( T \mid T \neq \phi \right).$ 

Suppose Assumption 1 is satisfied. Then,

 $\lim_{k\to\infty}\rho_k(T)=\rho^*(T),$ 

where  $\rho^*$  denotes  $\mathcal{IGW}(q)$  with q = 1 - S'(1).

Finally, if  $\rho_0 \equiv \mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  is subcritical, then  $\rho_k(T)$  converges to a point mass measure,  $\mathcal{GW}(q_0=1)$ .

# Attraction property of critical Galton-Watson trees

**Corollary.** [YK and I. Zaliapin, *Bernoulli* (2021)] Consider a critical Galton-Watson measure  $\rho_0 \equiv \mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  with  $q_1 = 0$ , with offspring distribution  $q_k$  of Zipf type:

 $q_k \sim Ck^{-(\alpha+1)}$  with  $\alpha \in (1,2]$  and C > 0.

Let  $\nu_k = \mathcal{R}^k_*(\rho_0)$  and  $\rho_k(T) = \nu_k(T \mid T \neq \phi)$ .

Then,  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \rho_k(T) = \rho^*(T)$ , where  $\rho^*$  is  $\mathcal{IGW}(q)$  with  $q = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ .

**Corollary.** [YK and I. Zaliapin, *Bernoulli* (2021)] Consider a critical Galton-Watson measure  $\rho_0 \equiv \mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  with  $q_1 = 0$  such that  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2 q_k < \infty$ .

Let  $\nu_k = \mathcal{R}^k_*(\rho_0)$  and  $\rho_k(T) = \nu_k(T \mid T \neq \phi)$ .

Then,  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \rho_k(T) = \rho^*(T)$ , where  $\rho^*$  is  $\mathcal{IGW}(1/2)$  (critical binary).

# A gift from anonymous referee

This is an example of Horton prune-invariant critical Galton-Watson measure  $\mathcal{GW}(\{q_k\})$  for which Assumption 1 does not hold.

Let 
$$q_0 \in (1/2, 1)$$
,  $q_1 = 0$ , and

$$q_m = \frac{1}{m!A} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} B^n \rho^{nm} e^{-\rho^n} \qquad m = 2, 3, \dots,$$

where  $\rho = 1 - q_0$ , parameter  $B \in ((1 - q_0)^{-1}, (1 - q_0)^{-2})$  is found by solving

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} B^n \left( 1 - \rho^{n+1} - (1 + \rho^n - \rho^{n+1}) e^{-\rho^n} \right) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad A = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} B^n \rho^n \left( 1 - e^{-\rho^n} \right).$$



#### Tokunaga coefficients and Horton law.

**Lemma.** [YK and I. Zaliapin, *Bernoulli* (2021)] For a given  $q \in [1/2, 1)$ , consider an invariant Galton-Watson measure  $\mathcal{IGW}(q)$ . Then, its Tokunaga coefficients are

 $T_{i,j}^{o} = rac{\mathcal{N}_{i,j}^{o}[K]}{\mathcal{N}_{j}[K]} = T_{j-i}^{o}, \quad ext{ where } T_{k}^{o} = c^{k-1} \ (k \ge 1) \quad ext{ with } c = rac{1}{1-q}.$ 

Additionally,  $\pi_i = P(\operatorname{ord}(T) = j) = q c^{1-i}$ , and the strong Horton law  $\lim_{K \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{N}_k[K]}{\mathcal{N}_1[K]} = R^{1-k}$  holds with Horton exponent

$$R = c^{c/(c-1)} = (1-q)^{-1/q}.$$

Critical binary: since  $\mathcal{IGW}(1/2) = \mathcal{GW}(q_0 = q_2 = 1/2)$ , for q = 1/2, we have

$$c = 2, \quad \pi_i = 2^{-i}, \quad T_k = 2^{k-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad R = 4.$$