

Review Article PRESENTATION EVALUATION

Presenter: _____

APPEAL TO A BROAD AUDIENCE	<p><u>Introduction & Rationale:</u> How well do the speakers introduce the journal article topic? What does your topic mean?(not a lecture, you only have time to explain it intuitively, can you distill the essence). What new physics/applications does this topic enable (accomplished & future potential)?</p>	/15		
	<p><u>Comprehension:</u> Do the speakers have a clear grasp of their selected article? How well are the main concepts articulated?</p>	/10		
	<p><u>Appeal:</u> How well does the speaker engage and maintain the audience's interest? How coherent and how well-structured is the layout of the PowerPoint? Don't cram -- 1-2 simple figs per slide. Are the graphics chosen well and not all just copied from the article. You may or may not need to make graphics, but you will DEFINITELY want to look for sources outside your article; a review article is designed for powerpoint.</p>	/15		
	Total			/40
ACADEMIC CONTENT AND DELIVERY	<p><u>Physics:</u> Does the presentation motivate and teach an interesting idea that focuses the optical physics connection?</p>	/10		
	<p><u>Delivery:</u> Is the speaker confident? Have the spoken words been rehearsed and supported by the slides?</p>	/15		
	Total			/25
TIMING	Did the speaker complete their presentation on time?	/-8		
Grand Total			/65	

Additional Comments:
