

MTH 453/553 – Homework 4

1. Consider the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned}u_t - u_x &= 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= \sin(\omega x),\end{aligned}$$

where ω is a parameter. The exact solution to this problem is

$$u(x, t) = \sin(\omega(t + x)).$$

Let us solve this problem on the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ with periodic boundary conditions, i.e.,

$$u(t, -\pi) = u(t, \pi), \quad t > 0.$$

We perform a discretization of the spatial interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ with spatial step size h and we use a temporal step size k on the time interval $[0, 1]$. Let $m + 1 = \frac{2\pi}{h}$. We want to calculate approximate solution values u_j^n for $j = 1, \dots, m + 1$. The periodic boundary conditions give

$$u_0^n = u_{m+1}^n, \quad \forall n$$

It is helpful to imagine the spatial mesh points sitting on a ring, rather than on a straight line, with x_{m+1} being identified with x_0 . Thus, when $j = m$, then $u_{j+1} = u_0$ and for $j = 0$, $u_{j-1} = u_m$. (This gets further complicated in MATLAB as zero index is not allowed, so we add one to each index.)

- Download the code `leapfrog.m` from the course webpage. This code implements the Leapfrog (midpoint) method (CC), given in (10.13) in the text, to obtain a numerical solution to the given problem.
- Modify this code to create a new file that implements the forward in time-backward in space (FB) finite difference scheme given in (10.23) to solve the given problem.
- Create a new file that implements the forward in time-centered in space (FC) finite difference scheme given in (10.5) to solve the given problem.
- Calculate the maximum errors at $t = 1$ for all three schemes using the values of ω , m and $\nu (= k/h)$ given in the table below and fill in the missing entries in the table

| ω | $m + 1$ | ν | Error in FB | Error in FC | Error in CC |
|----------|---------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 2 | 20 | 0.5 | 0.2473 | 0.3304 | 0.0788 |
| 2 | 200 | 0.5 | ? | ? | ? |
| 2 | 2000 | 0.5 | ? | ? | ? |

What order of accuracy does each method seem to exhibit?

- (e) Fix $m + 1 = 20$. What is the effect on the error of each method when ω is increased or decreased?
- (f) Fix $m + 1 = 20$. What is the effect on the error of each method when ν is increased or decreased? In particular, try $\nu = 1$ and $\nu > 1$.

2. Download the code `leapfrogpulse.m` from the course webpage. This code implements the Leapfrog method with a Gaussian pulse for the initial condition. Snapshots of the solution at various times are shown, and the norm of the solution is displayed to the prompt.

- (a) Run the code `leapfrogpulse.m` with $m + 1 = 75$ and try ν values of 1, 0.8, and 1.01. Describe the resulting qualitative behavior of solutions and explain why it occurs.
- (b) Change the boundary condition from periodic to $u(\pi, t) = 0$. Impose *artificial absorbing boundary conditions* at the outflow boundary $x = -\pi$:

$$U_1^{n+1} = U_1^n + \nu(U_2^n - U_1^n)$$

Run the code with $m + 1 = 75$ and try ν values of 1, 0.8, and 1.01. (Try also the case $\nu = 0.25$, and look closely for a “reflection” that travels backwards!) Discuss the accuracy of the absorbing boundary condition in terms of the remaining “energy”.

3. Consider the advection equation

$$u_t + au_x = 0,$$

and recall that the forward in time-centered in space (FC) scheme is consistent but unconditionally unstable. The *Lax-Friedrichs* scheme is a variation of the FC scheme and is given as

$$U_j^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(U_{j-1}^n + U_{j+1}^n) - \frac{\nu}{2}(U_{j+1}^n - U_{j-1}^n),$$

where $\nu = \frac{ak}{h}$ is the *Courant number*.

- (a) **(553:)** Show that the Lax-Friedrichs scheme is consistent and find the order of accuracy.
- (b) Use von Neumann analysis to show that the Lax-Friedrichs scheme is stable, provided that the CFL condition, $|\nu| \leq 1$, holds.