

# MTH 452/552 – Homework 5

1. (15 points) [Absolute stability region for LMM]

Determine the characteristic polynomials  $\rho(\zeta)$  and  $\sigma(\zeta)$  for any **three** of the following linear multistep methods. Find and plot the region of absolute stability of these methods. You may wish to use `plotS.m` or `plotBL.m` from the author's website.

- (a) The 2-step Adams-Bashforth method

$$U_{n+2} = U_{n+1} + \frac{k}{2} (-f(U_n) + 3f(U_{n+1}))$$

- (b) The 2-step Adams-Moulton method

$$U_{n+2} = U_{n+1} + \frac{k}{12} (-f(U_n) + 8f(U_{n+1}) + 5f(U_{n+2}))$$

- (c) The 2-step Nyström method (explicit midpoint)

$$U_{n+2} = U_n + 2kf(U_{n+1})$$

- (d) The 2-step Milne-Simpson method (implicit Nyström)

$$U_{n+2} = U_n + \frac{k}{3} (f(U_n) + 4f(U_{n+1}) + f(U_{n+2}))$$

- (e) The 2-step Backward Differentiation Formula method (BDF)

$$U_{n+2} = \frac{4}{3}U_{n+1} - \frac{1}{3}U_n + \frac{2k}{3}f(U_{n+2})$$

2. (10 points) [ $\theta$ -method]

For a given ODE  $u'(t) = f(u)$ , consider the  $\theta$ -method

$$U_{n+1} = U_n + k(\theta f(U_{n+1}) + (1 - \theta)f(U_n))$$

for some value of  $\theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ .

- (a) Sketch (or plot) the region of absolute stability for some  $\theta$  in

- i.  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$
- ii.  $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$

- (b) Explain if and when one of the above values of  $\theta$  would be preferred over the typical choices of  $0$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and  $1$  (consider cost and accuracy in your answer).

- (c) Describe the changes in the qualitative behavior of the regions as  $\theta$  varies from 0 to 1, especially the transition that occurs as  $\theta$  crosses  $\frac{1}{2}$ .
- (d) For which values of  $\theta$  is the method A-stable?

Do one of the following two.

3. (10 points) [ $R(z)$  for Runge-Kutta methods]

Any  $r$ -stage Runge-Kutta method applied to  $u' = \lambda u$  will give an expression of the form

$$U^{n+1} = R(z)U^n$$

where  $z = \lambda k$ .

Since  $u(t_{n+1}) = e^z u(t_n)$  for this problem, we expect that a  $p$ th order accurate method will give a function  $R(z)$  satisfying

$$R(z) = e^z + \mathcal{O}(z^{p+1}) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

One can determine the value of  $p$  in (1) by expanding  $e^z$  in a Taylor series about  $z = 0$ , writing the  $\mathcal{O}(z^{p+1})$  term as

$$Cz^{p+1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{p+2}),$$

multiplying through by the denominator of  $R(z)$ , and then collecting terms.

- (a) Determine  $R(z)$  and  $p$  for the classical RK4 (5.33).
- (b) Determine  $R(z)$  and  $p$  for the TR-BDF2 method (8.6).

For each of the above, find and plot the stability region (you may wish to use `plotS.m` from the author's website).

*(Note: all fourth order, explicit 4-stage RK methods have the same  $R(z)$ , in fact, all  $s$  order, explicit  $s$ -stage RK methods with  $s \leq 4$  have  $R(z)$  in a similar, and obvious, form. Further, these agree with the  $s$  order Taylor series methods. Therefore you can use the  $R(z)$  for the Taylor series methods in `plotS.m`. What significant property occurs for  $s \geq 5$ ? What would the stability region look like for  $s = 6$  if  $R(z)$  followed the same pattern?)*

4. (10 points) [fixed point iteration of implicit methods]

Consider a predictor-corrector method (see Section 5.9.4) consisting of forward Euler as the predictor and backward Euler as the corrector, and suppose we make  $N$  correction iterations, i.e., we set

$$\hat{U}_0 = U_n + kf(U_n)$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}_{j+1} &= U_n + kf(\hat{U}_j) \\ \text{end} \\ U_{n+1} &= \hat{U}_N. \end{aligned}$$

Note that this can be interpreted as a *fixed point iteration* for solving the nonlinear equation

$$U^{n+1} = U_n + kf(U^{n+1})$$

of the backward Euler method. Since the backward Euler method is implicit and has a stability region that includes the entire left half plane, as shown in Figure 7.1(b), one might hope that this predictor-corrector method also has a large stability region.

- (a) Find the polynomial  $R_N(z)$  such that

$$U_{n+1} = R_N(z)U_n$$

for arbitrary  $N$ .

- (b) Plot the stability region  $S_N$  of this method for  $N = 2, 5, 10, 20$  (perhaps using `plotS.m` from the author's website) and comment on any change in the size of the stability region.
- (c) Note that the fixed point iteration above can only be expected to converge for the test problem if  $|k\lambda| < 1$ . (Why?) Based on this result, and considering the shape of the stability region of Backward Euler, what do you expect the stability region  $S_N$  of part (4b) to converge to as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ?