# A SECANT METHOD FOR MULTIPLE ROOTS 
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#### Abstract

. A superlinear procedure for finding a multiple root is presented. In it the secant method is applied to the given function divided by a divided difference whose increment shrinks toward zero as the root is approached. Two function evaluations per step are required, but no derivatives need be calculated.
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## 1. Introduction.

We seek a method of secant type for finding a real, multiple root $\alpha$ of the nonlinear equation $f(x)=0$. But direct application of the secant method to $f$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+2}=x_{n+1}-\left(x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right) \frac{f_{n+1}}{f_{n}-f_{n+1}}, \quad f_{i} \equiv f\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields a procedure whose convergence for a root of multiplicity $m>1$ apparently is linear at best (see Espelid [3], Stewart [5], and Woodhouse [7]). That is, for given initial values $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$, the order of convergence $p$ is 1 in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}}{\varepsilon_{n}^{p}}=K_{m}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for errors $\varepsilon_{i}=x_{i}-\alpha$. Stewart [5] has computed $K_{m}$ for various $m>1$ as the positive root of $K^{m}+K^{m-1}-1=0$.

Since it is well known that the function $F=f / f^{\prime}$ has a simple root at $\alpha$ (see Traub [1], p. 235, for example), we can avoid the difficulty of (slow) linear convergence by applying (1) to $F$ instead of to $f$. Using $F$, however, requires that a derivative as well as a function value be calculated each step; moreover $f^{\prime}$ is frequently more complicated than $f$.

The function $F$ may also be used in Newton's method,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=x_{0}-\frac{F_{0}}{F_{0}^{\prime}}=x_{0}-\frac{f_{0}}{f_{0}^{\prime}} \frac{1}{1-\frac{f_{0} f_{0}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{0}^{\prime 2}}}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where here and henceforth we suppress $n$ in the subscripts. Since $F$ has a simple root at $\alpha$, the process converges quadratically ( $p=2$ ), whereas $f$ itself in Newton's method yields linear convergence with $K_{m}=(m-1) / m$ (see Rall [2]). The price of gaining quadratic convergence is having to calculate not only $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ but also $f^{\prime \prime}$.

Inspired by a procedure due to Steffensen, Esser [6] has recently proposed that the derivatives of $f$ in (3) be replaced by divided differences. The increment ( $-f$ ) for these differences is not constant, however, but shrinks as the root is approached. Such a scheme is a natural way of defining differences with the desired derivative properties. Esser's method indeed is quadratic, calls for three function evaluations per step, and provides the multiplicity $m$ as well as the root $\alpha$. Its efficiency index (see Traub [1], p. 263) is $2^{\frac{1}{3}}=1.260$.

## 2. A method for multiple roots.

In the same spirit we propose for multiple roots that the secant method be used not with the function $F=f / f^{\prime}$ but rather with

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{f(x)}{\frac{f(x-f(x))-f(x)}{(x-f(x))-x}}=\frac{-f^{2}(x)}{f(x-f(x))-f(x)} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here again $f^{\prime}$ has been replaced by a divided difference of $f$, with increment $(-f)$. It will be instructive to compare $G$ with $F$, to see why both yield superlinear convergence when used as secant method functions.

First of all we want to find the value of $F$ and its first three derivatives at $\alpha$. Note that if the function $f$ has a root of multiplicity $m$ at $\alpha$, then it may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=(x-\alpha)^{m} g(x), g(\alpha) \neq 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore since the secant algorithm (1) gives $x_{2}$ as a linear function of $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$, it follows that a translation of $f$ by $(x-\alpha)$ enables us without loss of generality to take $\alpha=0$. Consequently $F(\alpha)$ may be expressed in terms of $g$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(0)=\left.\frac{x g(x)}{m g(x)+x g^{\prime}(x)}\right|_{x=0}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $\alpha$ is a root of $F$. Differentiating $F$ we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(0)=\left.\frac{m g^{2}+x^{2}\left(g^{\prime 2}-g g^{\prime \prime}\right)}{\left(m g+x g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right|_{x=0}=\frac{1}{m} \neq 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that in fact $\alpha$ is a simple root of $F$. Further differentiation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime \prime}(0)=-\frac{2}{m^{2}} \frac{g^{\prime}(0)}{g(0)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\frac{6(m+1)}{m^{3}}\left(\frac{g^{\prime}(0)}{g(0)}\right)^{2}-\frac{6}{m^{2}} \frac{g^{\prime \prime}(0)}{g(0)} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known (for example, see Anderson and Björck [4], p. 258) that the asymptotic error equation for the secant method with a function $F$ having a simple root at $\alpha$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{2} \cong\left\{\frac{1}{2} \frac{F^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)}{F^{\prime}(\alpha)}\right\} \varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{1}+\left\{\frac{1}{6} \frac{F^{\prime \prime \prime}(\alpha)}{F^{\prime}(\alpha)}-\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{F^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)}{F^{\prime}(\alpha)}\right)^{2}\right\} \varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{0}+\varepsilon_{1}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients may be written in terms of $g$ and its derivatives at $\alpha$ by means of (7), (8), and (9). This error equation shows that for any multiplicity $m$ the secant method (1) using $F$ is superlinear. The asymptotic convergence rate, in fact, is 1.618 .

But what about the function $G$ ? We can expand $f(x-f(x))$ in a Taylor series about $x$ to get, for small $F$,

$$
\begin{align*}
G(x) & =\frac{-f^{2}(x)}{\left\{f(x)-f(x) f^{\prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} f^{2}(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x)-\frac{1}{6} f^{3}(x) f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)+\ldots\right\}-f(x)}  \tag{11}\\
& =F\left\{1+\frac{1}{2} F f^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{6} F f f^{\prime \prime \prime}+\ldots+\frac{1}{4} F^{2} f^{\prime \prime 2}+\ldots\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Evaluating at $\alpha=0$ the results of some very tedious differentiations, we conclude that $G$ and its derivatives at any $\alpha$ can be written as

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
G(\alpha)= & 0 \\
G^{\prime}(\alpha)= & F^{\prime}(\alpha)=\frac{1}{m}  \tag{12}\\
G^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)= & F^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)+F^{\prime 2}(\alpha) f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)=-\frac{2}{m^{2}} \frac{g^{\prime}(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)}+\frac{1}{m^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha) \\
G^{\prime \prime \prime}(\alpha)= & F^{\prime \prime \prime}(\alpha)+3 F^{\prime 2}(\alpha) f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\alpha)+3 F^{\prime \prime}(\alpha) F^{\prime}(\alpha) f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha) \\
& +\frac{3}{2} F^{\prime 3}(\alpha) f^{\prime \prime 2}(\alpha)-F^{\prime 2}(\alpha) f^{\prime}(\alpha) f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\alpha) \\
= & \left\{\frac{6(m+1)}{m^{3}}\left(\frac{g^{\prime}(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)}\right)^{2}-\frac{6}{m^{2}} \frac{g^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)}\right\}+\left\{\frac{3}{m^{2}}\right\} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\alpha) \\
& -\left\{\frac{6}{m^{3}} \frac{g^{\prime}(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)}\right\} f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)+\left\{\frac{3}{2 m^{3}}\right\} f^{\prime \prime 2}(\alpha)-\left\{\frac{1}{m^{2}}\right\} f^{\prime}(\alpha) f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\alpha) .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Furthermore the derivatives of $f$ in (12) are given in terms of $g$ and its derivatives at the root $\alpha$, and for various values of multiplicity $m$, as entries in the following table:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc} 
& m=1 & m=2 & m=3 & m \geqq 4 \\
f^{\prime}(\alpha) & g(\alpha) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha) & 2 g^{\prime}(\alpha) & 2 g(\alpha) & 0 & 0 \\
f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\alpha) & 3 g^{\prime \prime}(\alpha) & 6 g^{\prime}(\alpha) & 6 g(\alpha) & 0
\end{array}
$$

Thus $G$ has a simple root at $\alpha$, and otherwise exhibits benavior quite similar to that of $F$.

When $G$ is used in the secant method,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}=x_{1}-\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right) \frac{G_{1}}{G_{0}-G_{1}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

it produces superlinear convergence. The asymptotic error equation for this, the proposed method, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{2} \cong\left\{\frac{1}{2} \frac{G^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)}{G^{\prime}(\alpha)}\right\} \varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{1}+\left\{\frac{1 G^{\prime \prime \prime}(\alpha)}{6}-\left(\frac{1}{G^{\prime}(\alpha)} \frac{G^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)}{G^{\prime}(\alpha)}\right)^{2}\right\} \varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{1}\left(\varepsilon_{0}+\varepsilon_{1}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that for this procedure the order of convergence is $p=\left(1+5^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) / 2$ $=1.618$. Since the two function evaluations $f\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $f\left(x_{1}-f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)$ are required each step, it follows that the efficiency index is $(1.618)^{\frac{1}{2}}=1.272$.

Woźniakowski [8] has shown that secant iteration such as that proposed is stable provided only that $G$ be computed by a well-behaved algorithm.* For example, a polynomial $f$ used in forming $G$ should be evaluated by Horner's rule rather than term by term. Furthermore one must remember that in order to calculate a multiple root accurately it is necessary to use multiprecision arithmetic.

## 3. Finding the multiplicity $m$.

From (11) and (6) we can see that for small $\varepsilon_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1} \doteq F_{1}=\frac{\varepsilon_{1} g\left(x_{1}\right)}{m g\left(x_{1}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} g^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)} \doteq \frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{m} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we know that $G_{2} \doteq \varepsilon_{2} / m$. Furthermore $\varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{1}=x_{2}-x_{1}$. Consequently when nearing the root $\alpha$ we can estimate its multiplicity by computing

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \doteq \frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{G_{2}-G_{1}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $m$ is approximately the reciprocal of the divided difference of $G$ for successive iterates $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. It may be computed and displayed at each step along with the current iterate.

[^1]
## 4. Examples.

Results from three examples computed (in quadruple precision) on an IBM 370 are shown in Tables 1-3. In each case the predicted error $\varepsilon_{2}$ as calculated from (14) is seen to be a good approximation to the actual error $x-\alpha$, and the estimated multiplicity $m$ from (16) approaches its actual value. No careful convergence criteria were either discussed in the analysis or used in the examples. The secant method was also applied to $f$ directly for the examples; some 47,69 , and 66 steps, respectively, were required to obtain final accuracy comparable to that of the proposed method.
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Table 1.

|  | $f$ | $g$ |  | $\alpha$ | $g(\alpha)$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}(\alpha)$ | $g^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)$ | $G^{\prime}(\alpha)$ | $G^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)$ | ) $G^{\prime \prime \prime}(a)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(x-1)^{2} \tan (\pi x / 4)$ | $\tan (\pi x / 4)$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | $\pi / 2$ | $\pi^{2} / 4$ | 1/2 | $-\pi / 4$ | $43 \pi^{2} / 6+3 \pi / 2$ |  |
| $\varepsilon_{n+2} \cong(-\pi / 4+1 / 2) \varepsilon_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}+3 \pi / 4 \varepsilon_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\left(\varepsilon_{n}+\varepsilon_{n+1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $n$ | $x$ | $\cdots f$ |  |  | $G$ |  | - |  | $\varepsilon \quad m$ |  |  |
| 0 | . 6 | . 0815241 | -. 359569 |  |  |  | -. 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | . 7 | . 0551521 | -. 205290 |  |  |  | -. 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | . 833064 | . 0213742 | -. 0934212 |  |  |  | -. 166936 |  | -. 232168 |  | 1.1894645 |
| 3 | . 9441851 | . 00285348 | -. 0285632 |  |  |  | -. 0558149 |  |  | -. 0693915 | 1.7132998 |
| 4 | . 99312248 | . 0000467920 | -. 00344590 |  |  |  | -. 00687752 |  |  | -. 00754945 | 1.9483516 |
| 5 | . 999836316 | .267857(-7) | -.818460(-4) |  |  |  | -. 000163684 |  |  | . 000166258 | 1.9957541 |
| 6 | . 999999660145 | .115501(-12) | -.169928(-6) |  |  |  | -.339855(-6) |  |  | . 339961 (-6) | 1.9999062 |
| 7 | . 999999999984 | .252743(-21) | -.794895(-11) |  |  |  | -. 158979 (-10) |  |  | -.158979(-10) | 1.9999998 |

Table 2.

|  |  | $f \quad g \quad m$ | $\alpha \quad g(\alpha) \quad g^{\prime}(\alpha)$ | $g^{\prime \prime}(\alpha) \quad G^{\prime}(\alpha)$ | $G^{\prime \prime}(\alpha) \quad G^{\prime \prime \prime}(\alpha)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $x(x-2)^{3} \quad x \quad 3$ | $2 \quad 21$ | $0 \quad 1 / 3$ | -1/9 38/9 |  |
| $\varepsilon_{n+2} \cong-1 / 6 \varepsilon_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}+25 / 12 \varepsilon_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\left(\varepsilon_{n}+\varepsilon_{n+1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $n$ | $x$ | $f$ | $G$ | $x-\alpha$ | $\varepsilon$ | $m$ |
| 0 | 1.0 | -1.0 | $-1.0$ | $-1.0$ |  |  |
| 1 | 1.1 | -. 8019 | -. 803700 | -. 9 |  |  |
| 2 | 1.509423 | $-.178210$ | -. 250516 | -. 490577 | -3.71250 | . 74012233 |
| 3 | 1.694836 | -. 0481646 | -. 124869 | -. 305164 | -1.35268 | 1.4756629 |
| 4 | 1.879101 | $-.00332062$ | $-.0422846$ | -. 120899 | -. 273133 | 2.2312244 |
| 5 | 1.9734474 | -. 0000369443 | -. 00890302 | -. 0265526 | -. 0388973 | 2.8263022 |
| 6 | 1.99861000 | -. 536751(-8) | $-.000463443$ | -. 00139000 | -. 00152117 | 2.9815029 |
| 7 | 1.99999175536 | -.112084(-14) | -. 00000274822 | -. 00000824464 | -. 00000829992 | 2.9992887 |
| 8 | 1.99999999806 | $-.146785(-25)$ | -. $647783(-9)$ | -. $194335(-8)$ | -.194339(-8) | 2.9999959 |
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